

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PHILIN CORPORATION, a California )  
corporation, )  
  )  
Plaintiff,                         ) Civil No. 04-1228-HU  
  )  
v.                                    )                                   O R D E R  
  )  
WESTHOOD, INC., an Oregon corporation, )  
formerly known as D. S. Parklane Development, )  
Inc.,                                 )  
  )  
Defendant.                         )

Maxwell M. Blecher  
BLECHER & COLLINS, P.C.  
515 S. Figueroa Street, 17th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3334

Philip S. Griffin  
GRIFFIN LAW GROUP  
4231 S.W. Pendleton  
Portland, OR 97221

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Joel A. Mullin  
Beverly C. Pearman  
STOEL RIVES  
900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600  
Portland, OR 97204

Attorneys for Defendant

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel filed Findings and Recommendation (#138) on January 9, 2007, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Hubel's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation (#138) dated January 9, 2007, in its entirety. Defendant's renewed motion to dismiss (#121) is granted with prejudice. Plaintiff's counsel's motions to withdraw (## 128, 131) are granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2007.

/s/ Robert E. Jones  
ROBERT E. JONES  
United States District Judge